

### Peer Evaluation of Teaching – Face-to-face Class Version

| Name of Instructor & Rank   |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Course Number/Title         |  |
| Number of Students Enrolled |  |
| Day/Time of Course          |  |
| Name of Evaluator & Rank    |  |

#### **Section A: Self-review of Instruction**

Briefly review your goals and approach/methods for this course. Describe your strengths in teaching, as well as your goals for instructional growth (these may be goals established from a previous peer review).

# Section B: Review of Syllabus, Course Structure, and Examples of Instructional Materials Please list all the materials that were reviewed (e.g., syllabus, assignments, tests, Blackboard site or other online structure, etc...).

## 1a. Does the syllabus contain the following information, as designated in CLAS and ASU guidelines?

| Content   | No | Yes |
|---|----|-----|
| Contact information   |    |     |
| Course description  |    |     |
| Learning outcomes   |    |     |
| Listing of assignments  |    |     |
| Grading policies and percentages/points                           |    |     |
| Readings and daily/weekly itineraries and due dates               |    |     |
| Technology support  |    |     |
| Policies on absences and make-up work, including ASU policies for |    |     |
| religious holidays and university sanctioned activities           |    |     |
| ASU academic integrity statement                                  |    |     |
| ASU disability accommodations statement                           |    |     |
| Expected classroom and/or online behavior                         |    |     |
| ASU policy against threatening behavior                           |    |     |

## 1b. Overall, does the syllabus communicate in a clear fashion the learning objectives, grading policies, and expectations of student conduct for the course?

| 1                | 2 | 3              | 4 | 5          |
|------------------|---|----------------|---|------------|
| Not at all clear |   | Somewhat clear |   | Very clear |

<sup>1</sup>c. Please provide supporting information to explain your rating.

#### 2a. Is the instructor covering the major content areas that should be covered in this course?

| 1             | 2 | 3             | 4 | 5               |
|---------------|---|---------------|---|-----------------|
| Many major    |   | Some major    |   | All major areas |
| areas are not |   | areas are not |   | are covered.    |
| covered.      |   | covered.      |   |                 |

<sup>2</sup>b. Please provide supporting information to explain your rating.

| 3. Please provide feedback on the examples of instructional materials (e.g., presentation    |
|--|
| materials, assignments, exams, etc.) that were provided in the pre-review meeting, including |
| both strengths and suggestions for improvement.  |

### Section C: Evaluation of Instruction for Face-to-face Class (Live or Video-recorded)

| Date & time of class         |  |
|------------------------------|--|
| Course number and title      |  |
| Live or recorded observation |  |
| Number of students in        |  |
| attendance for observation   |  |

Please provide a quantitative rating for each item and then explain your rating below.

1a. Was the instructor well-prepared for class? This includes having necessary visuals and handouts ready at the start of class and smoothly transitioning between different activities/topics.

| 1  | 2 | 3  | 4 | 5  |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Instructor did not have necessary materials for class and appeared to be unprepared. |   | Instructor was somewhat prepared for class (e.g., had some materials ready, but not others). |   | Instructor was very well prepared and had all materials ready at the start of the class. |

1b. Please provide supporting information to explain your rating.

2a. Was the instructor knowledgeable about course material as demonstrated by the presentation and by dissemination of accurate and up-to-date information based on current research, theory, and/or methods relevant to the course topic area?

| 1   | 2 | 3   | 4 | 5  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Instructor did not demonstrate accurate knowledge of course material. |   | Instructor<br>demonstrated<br>some accurate<br>knowledge of<br>course material. |   | Instructor demonstrated accurate knowledge of all course material discussed. |

2b. Please provide supporting information to explain your rating.

3a. Were the instructional methods appropriate for accomplishing the goals of the course? That is, taking into account the nature of the course and topic content, were the methods for delivery (e.g., lectures, demonstrations, discussions, group activities, etc.) appropriate?

| 1                 | 2 | 3            | 4 | 5                 |
|-------------------|---|--------------|---|-------------------|
|                   |   |              |   |                   |
| Methods were      |   | Methods were |   | Methods were      |
| inappropriate for |   | somewhat     |   | very appropriate  |
| course goals.     |   | appropriate. |   | for course goals. |

3b. Please provide supporting information to explain your rating.

4a. Was the class session delivered or facilitated in an organized and clear manner? Was there a logical flow and pedagogically-appropriate structure throughout the course session?

| 1              | 2 | 3              | 4 | 5              |
|----------------|---|----------------|---|----------------|
| Course session |   | Course session |   | Course session |
| was            |   | was somewhat   |   | was very       |
| unorganized.   |   | organized.     |   | organized.     |

4b. Please provide supporting information to explain your rating.

5a. Did the instructor treat students with respect during the course session, including how she/he handled questions, comments, and other interactions with students?

| 1  | 2 | 3  | 4 | 5                                      |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Course instructor was disrespectful to students. |   | Course instructor was somewhat respectful. |   | Course instructor was very respectful. |

5b. Please provide supporting information to explain your rating.

6. Please provide an overall assessment of your observation, including both what worked well and suggestions for improvement.

### Section D: Instructor's Response to Evaluation and Instructional Goals

| <ol> <li>Instructor's Reflection and Response to Evaluation: Please sha<br/>the class or instructional unit observed and, if desired, respond to<br/>feedback received in this peer review.</li> </ol> |       |
|--|-------|
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
| <ol><li>Instructional Goals: Please identify two instructional goals base<br/>provided. These may be evaluated in future peer-evaluations of te</li></ol>  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
|  |       |
| Instructor's signature:  | Date: |
| Evaluator's signature:   | Date: |
|  |       |